

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,027	03/19/2004	Dennis J. Gallant	7175-74811	7971
23643	7590 03/20/200		EXAMINER	
BARNES & THORNBURG			POPE, DARYL C	
11 SOUTH I	MERIDIAN OLIS, IN 46204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2632	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/20/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/805,027	GALLANT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DARYL C. POPE	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja	nuary 2006					
· · · ·	action is non-final.					
, <u></u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,— .,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-55</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34-55</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers	olocion roquiroment.					
·· _						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	•	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-192.				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies not received	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/805,027

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

ART REJECTION:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. Claims 34-36,45, and 50-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beney for the reasons of record as discussed in the previous office action, further in view of Foster et al(5,457,831).
- -- Claims 34-36,45,50-51, and 53 recite subject matter that is met by Beney, except for:
 - 1) the cart not configured to carry a patient.

Use of carts that carry patient care equipment, but not configured to carry a patient is well known in the art. In related art, Foster et al(Foster) discloses use of a cart that is configured for carrying patient care equipment, but not configured to carry a patient(see: cart(18))

Since use of a cart for carrying patient care equipment, but not configured to carry a patient is well known in the art as seen by Foster, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the cart(18) of Foster into the system of Beney in place of the bed structure(10) for carrying the equipment, since this would have reduced the size requirements of the bed, while at the same time allowing the equipment to be transferred to different patients without moving different patients to the bed itself.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,027

Art Unit: 2632

3. Claims 37-44, and 46-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beney in view of Guthrie et al(Guthrie) for the reasons of record as discussed in the previous office action, and further in view of Foster et al(Foster) for the reasons of record as discussed above.

REMARKS:

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 34-55 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 5. Furthermore, since applicant has failed to traverse examiner's assertion of official notice as stated in the rejection of the previous office action, the examiner's well known in the art statement will hence be taken as applicant's admitted prior art.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2632

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARYL C. POPE whose telephone number is 571-272-2959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 9:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DANIEL J. WU can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daryl C. Pope

Feb. 20, 2006

DARYL C POPE Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2632